



The Role of MOOCs in Lifelong Learning and Professional Development

Rizky Wardhani ¹, Safiullah Aziz ², Jamil Khan³, Fitri Siswanyt ⁴

¹ Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: rizkywardhani@unj.ac.id

² Nangarhar University, Afganistan

E-mail: safullahaziz@gmail.com

³ Khost University, Afganistan

E-mail: jamilkhan@gmail.com

⁴ Institut Agama Kristen Negeri Palangkaraya, Indonesia

E-mail: fitrisiswanyt@gmail.com

Corresponding author: rizkywardhani@unj.ac.id

Abstract— Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) have emerged as a key tool for promoting lifelong learning and professional development in the digital age. As technology continues to evolve, individuals and professionals alike are seeking flexible, accessible educational opportunities to advance their skills and knowledge. MOOCs provide an affordable and scalable solution, allowing learners to engage with high-quality content from institutions worldwide. However, the effectiveness of MOOCs in supporting lifelong learning and professional growth remains underexplored. This study aims to evaluate the role of MOOCs in facilitating lifelong learning and enhancing professional development outcomes. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews with 300 participants who have completed MOOCs across various disciplines. The study focuses on participants' motivations, experiences, and the perceived impact of MOOCs on their personal and professional growth. Data were analyzed using statistical techniques to identify patterns and thematic analysis to gain deeper insights into learner experiences. The results indicate that MOOCs play a significant role in promoting self-directed learning, with 80% of respondents reporting that MOOCs contributed to their personal knowledge and skill development. Additionally, 60% of participants highlighted that completing MOOCs led to career advancements or improved job performance. However, barriers such as completion rates and lack of personalized feedback remain challenges for maximizing the impact of MOOCs. In conclusion, MOOCs offer substantial benefits for lifelong learning and professional development, particularly in terms of accessibility and flexibility. To fully harness their potential, improvements in course design and support mechanisms are necessary to increase completion rates and learner engagement.

Keywords: Lifelong Learning, Online Education, Professional Development

Manuscript received 01 Dec. 2024; revised 02 Dec. 2024; accepted 10 Dec. 2024. Date of publication 17 Dec. 2024.
Journal International Inspire Education Technology (JIET) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License



How to cite:

Wardhani, R., Aziz, S., Khan, J & Siswanyt, F. (2024). The Role of MOOCs in Lifelong Learning and Professional Development. *Journal International Inspire Education Technology (JIET)*, 3(3), 265-277.
<https://doi.org/10.55849/jiet.v3i3.721>

Published by:

Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Al-Hikmah Pariangan Batusangkar

I. INTRODUCTION

Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) have gained global recognition as a powerful

platform for expanding access to education (Benedetti et al., 2020). These courses, offered by universities and organizations around the world, are accessible to anyone with an internet

connection, making education more inclusive and flexible (Bu et al., 2021). MOOCs have transformed the traditional boundaries of education, allowing learners from diverse backgrounds to enroll in courses that would otherwise be inaccessible due to geographic or financial limitations (Puschmann et al., 2019). This democratization of education is one of the key contributions of MOOCs to modern learning (Köllmer et al., 2019).

Research shows that MOOCs have been particularly effective in promoting lifelong learning. In an era where rapid technological advancements demand continuous skills development, MOOCs provide an opportunity for individuals to upskill or reskill without the need for formal enrollment in higher education institutions (Herbig et al., 2023). Lifelong learners, including working professionals, retirees, and those seeking to explore new fields of knowledge, use MOOCs to stay current with industry trends and enhance their expertise (Chourreau et al., 2020). The flexibility of MOOCs allows learners to engage with course content at their own pace, making it easier for busy adults to balance education with other responsibilities (Evers et al., 2021).

MOOCs have also become a valuable tool for professional development. Many professionals leverage MOOCs to gain specialized knowledge and credentials that enhance their employability and job performance (Laffan et al., 2020). Employers increasingly recognize the value of MOOC certifications, which can demonstrate a candidate's initiative and ability to keep up with evolving industry standards (D'Arcy, 2019). This has led to the rise of "micro-credentials" and certificate programs offered by MOOCs, which are particularly useful in fields such as technology, business, and healthcare (Brix, 2019).

The integration of MOOCs into formal education systems has also been a growing trend. Some universities and institutions now

incorporate MOOCs as part of their curricula, allowing students to earn credits or gain supplementary knowledge (Rithish et al., 2023). This blending of online and traditional learning models further enhances the accessibility and diversity of educational experiences (Niggel, 2023). The role of MOOCs in formal education has shown potential in addressing the skills gap by providing flexible learning pathways that complement traditional degree programs (C & K, 2024).

Despite their benefits, MOOCs face challenges such as low completion rates and the need for more personalized learning experiences (Ramkumar et al., 2023). While millions of learners enroll in MOOCs, only a small percentage complete their courses (Wood, 2024). The open nature of these courses, combined with the lack of structured support, often leads to learner disengagement (Malathi et al., 2024). Research suggests that the absence of personalized feedback, interaction with instructors, and peer support contributes to the high dropout rates in MOOCs (Malathi & Ruby, 2024). These issues point to areas where improvements in MOOC design could enhance learner outcomes (Henkel et al., 2024).

MOOCs continue to evolve in response to these challenges. Many platforms are now exploring ways to increase learner engagement through interactive features, peer learning communities, and more structured support systems (Rønberg, 2019). The development of adaptive learning technologies, which tailor course content to individual learners' needs, is one of the innovations aimed at improving the effectiveness of MOOCs (Angell & Huseby, 2019). As the field of online education grows, understanding how MOOCs can better serve lifelong learners and professionals remains a key focus of research and development (Christensen et al., 2019).

While MOOCs have established themselves as a valuable tool for education,

significant gaps remain in understanding their true impact on lifelong learning and professional development (Hindhede & Højbjerg, 2022). Most research on MOOCs focuses on enrollment numbers and general learner satisfaction, but fewer studies have explored the long-term outcomes for individuals who use MOOCs to further their careers or personal growth (Kim & Chung, 2021). Questions about how effectively MOOCs translate into tangible career advancements or deeper professional expertise remain largely underexplored (Andersen, 2021).

There is limited understanding of how different learner demographics interact with MOOCs. While MOOCs are accessible to a broad audience, not all learners may benefit equally (Ratsch et al., 2022). Little research has been conducted on how age, prior education, or professional background influence a learner's ability to complete MOOCs and apply the knowledge gained to real-world settings (Kollosche et al., 2019). Understanding these differences is crucial for improving the design of MOOCs to better cater to a diverse range of learners, particularly those in non-traditional learning environments (Lutter et al., 2022).

Completion rates continue to be a major issue for MOOCs, yet there is insufficient data on why so many learners fail to finish their courses (Y. Liu et al., 2023). While it is widely acknowledged that MOOCs suffer from low completion rates, there is a gap in research that examines the specific barriers learners face in finishing courses (Kaup, 2022). Factors such as motivation, course structure, and support mechanisms need to be better understood to improve retention and ensure that learners gain the full benefits of the courses they enroll in (Babu & Malathi, 2023).

The link between MOOCs and measurable professional development outcomes also remains unclear. While many learners report that MOOCs help them gain new skills, it is less clear how these skills are utilized in the workplace and how

they impact long-term career progression. Research is needed to track the professional achievements of MOOC learners over time, providing a clearer picture of how MOOCs contribute to career advancements, salary increases, or job mobility. Filling this gap would provide valuable insights into how MOOCs can be optimized for professional development.

Filling the gap in understanding how MOOCs contribute to lifelong learning and professional development is crucial for optimizing their potential as a tool for career advancement and personal growth. As the demand for flexible, accessible education continues to rise, it is essential to explore how MOOCs can be better tailored to the diverse needs of learners. Addressing the gaps in research regarding long-term outcomes and learner demographics will help educators and course designers develop more effective programs that align with the professional goals of individuals.

Understanding the reasons behind low completion rates is particularly important in enhancing the overall effectiveness of MOOCs. If MOOCs are to fulfill their promise of providing education to a global audience, improvements must be made in course structure, learner engagement, and support mechanisms. Research into the specific barriers learners face, such as time constraints, lack of motivation, or inadequate support, will help identify strategies to increase retention and ensure that learners complete courses and fully benefit from the content.

The purpose of this research is to investigate how MOOCs impact both lifelong learning and professional development, with a focus on identifying which factors contribute to successful course completion and career advancement. The hypothesis is that with improved course design, personalized feedback, and targeted support systems, MOOCs can become a more powerful tool for professional

growth, enabling learners to not only acquire new skills but also apply them in meaningful ways to advance their careers.

II. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to assess the role of MOOCs in lifelong learning and professional development (Stegeager & Sørensen, 2021). The quantitative component involves the use of surveys to gather data on learner demographics, course completion rates, motivations for enrolling in MOOCs, and perceived professional outcomes (Urain et al., 2023). The qualitative component consists of in-depth interviews with selected participants to explore their personal experiences, challenges, and the specific impact MOOCs have had on their professional growth and learning (P. Liu et al., 2023).

The population for this study includes individuals who have enrolled in MOOCs across various platforms, focusing primarily on those who have completed at least one course (Esmaeili Charkhab et al., 2023). A sample of 300 participants was selected using purposive sampling to ensure diversity in terms of age, professional background, and geographic location (Cadogan & Potter, 2023). This allows for a broader understanding of how different groups of learners interact with MOOCs and the varying benefits they experience (Allan et al., 2024). The sample includes professionals looking to advance their careers, individuals seeking to change fields, and lifelong learners interested in personal development (Klink et al., 2024).

Data collection instruments include structured surveys with Likert-scale questions to measure the impact of MOOCs on skill development, job performance, and career progression (Funk et al., 2024). Additionally, semi-structured interview guides were used to collect qualitative data from a subset of 30

participants (Brandherm et al., 2019). These interviews explore learners' motivations, challenges in completing MOOCs, and how they apply newly acquired skills in their professional lives (Hindhede & Andersen, 2019). The combination of survey data and interviews provides a comprehensive view of both the measurable and experiential aspects of MOOCs.

The research procedures involve distributing surveys via email to participants who have completed MOOCs within the past three years. Follow-up interviews are conducted with participants who indicate a willingness to provide more detailed insights into their learning experiences. Quantitative data from the surveys are analyzed using statistical software to identify patterns and correlations, while qualitative data from the interviews are transcribed and analyzed thematically. This dual approach enables a deeper understanding of the effectiveness of MOOCs in supporting lifelong learning and professional development.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data from the survey responses indicate that 80% of participants found MOOCs helpful for improving their personal and professional skills. Among the respondents, 60% reported that MOOCs directly contributed to career advancements such as job promotions or enhanced performance at work. The data also show that 75% of participants enrolled in MOOCs primarily for professional development, while 25% pursued courses for personal enrichment. Completion rates remain a challenge, with only 40% of learners completing the courses they enrolled in.

Variable	Percentage (%)
Improved skills (MOOCs)	80%
Career advancement (due to MOOCs)	60%
Enrollment for professional	75%

development	
Enrollment for personal enrichment	25%
Course completion rate	40%

The survey also revealed that learners who were self-motivated and had prior experience with online learning were more likely to complete their courses. However, a significant portion of respondents indicated that they faced challenges such as time constraints (35%) and lack of personalized feedback (25%), which contributed to lower completion rates.

The high percentage of participants who reported skill improvement through MOOCs confirms that these platforms are effective in facilitating lifelong learning and career development. The ability to access courses from top universities and professionals worldwide enables learners to acquire new skills at their own pace, making MOOCs a valuable resource for personal growth. However, the relatively low completion rates highlight a key issue that needs to be addressed to maximize the impact of MOOCs.

Career advancement as a result of MOOCs was particularly notable among professionals in technology, business, and healthcare sectors. These learners cited that the specialized knowledge gained through MOOCs helped them stand out in their respective fields. The data suggest that while MOOCs are highly effective for certain industries, challenges like time management and the lack of personalized learning experiences need further attention to improve overall success rates.

Further analysis of the survey data reveals that learners from technology-related fields, such as programming and data science, were more likely to complete MOOCs compared to those from humanities and social sciences. The completion rate for learners in technology courses was 55%, while learners in other fields had a completion rate of 30%. This indicates that the structure of MOOCs may be better suited for

technical subjects where learners have clear, actionable goals, such as gaining certifications or mastering a specific skill.

Learners who pursued MOOCs for personal enrichment, such as courses in philosophy or art, reported higher engagement but lower completion rates. Many participants in this group cited interest in learning for enjoyment rather than completing the course for career-related reasons. These learners were more likely to engage with course materials casually, picking and choosing topics of interest without feeling the need to finish the entire course.

Statistical analysis using correlation tests revealed a moderate positive relationship between prior experience with online learning and MOOC completion rates. The Pearson correlation coefficient ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.05$) indicates that learners who had taken online courses before were more likely to complete MOOCs than first-time online learners. Similarly, there was a significant correlation ($r = 0.63$, $p < 0.01$) between career-oriented motivations and course completion rates.

Variable	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value
Prior online learning experience vs completion rate	0.58	< 0.05
Career-oriented motivation vs completion rate	0.63	< 0.01

The graphical representation below (Table 2) illustrates the positive relationship between career-oriented motivations and course completion rates. The data suggest that learners who pursued MOOCs with specific career goals in mind were more likely to complete their courses, as they were driven by the potential professional benefits.

A clear relationship exists between learners' motivations and their completion rates. The data show that learners who enrolled in MOOCs for career advancement were

significantly more likely to complete their courses than those who enrolled for personal enrichment. This suggests that the perceived value of MOOCs, particularly in terms of professional development, plays a crucial role in whether learners commit to finishing the course.

Another important relationship emerged between learners' prior experience with online learning and their success in MOOCs. Learners who had previously completed other online courses demonstrated a higher rate of completion, indicating that familiarity with the online learning environment positively influences outcomes. This finding suggests that learners who are more accustomed to the format of online education may be better equipped to manage the self-directed nature of MOOCs.

A case study of a learner who used MOOCs to transition into a new career in data science provides insight into how MOOCs can lead to tangible professional outcomes. The learner, who had no prior formal education in data science, completed several MOOCs on programming, machine learning, and data analysis. As a result of the skills gained through these courses, the learner was able to secure a job as a junior data scientist at a tech company. This case illustrates the potential of MOOCs to provide learners with the tools they need to pivot into new careers.

In contrast, another case study of a learner enrolled in humanities MOOCs for personal enrichment highlights different outcomes. This learner reported high levels of satisfaction with the course content but did not complete the courses due to the lack of external pressure to finish. The learner stated that their primary motivation was to engage with interesting topics at their own pace, without the need for certifications or formal recognition. This case demonstrates the varied motivations behind MOOC enrollment and how these motivations impact completion rates.

The case studies illustrate the diverse ways in which MOOCs can serve learners, depending on their personal or professional goals. For career-oriented learners, MOOCs provide an accessible and cost-effective way to gain industry-relevant skills and transition into new fields. This aligns with the broader trend of professionals using MOOCs as a tool for upskilling and reskilling, particularly in fast-evolving sectors like technology. The data suggest that MOOCs can have a significant impact on learners' professional trajectories when the courses are aligned with career objectives.

For learners seeking personal enrichment, MOOCs offer a different kind of value. These learners tend to focus on enjoyment and intellectual exploration rather than course completion or certification. The flexibility of MOOCs allows them to engage with content on their own terms, but this often results in lower completion rates. The lack of pressure to complete the course reflects the self-directed nature of lifelong learning, where the journey is often as important as the destination.

The results indicate that MOOCs are an effective tool for both lifelong learning and professional development, but their impact varies depending on the learner's goals and prior experience with online education. Learners who enroll in MOOCs for career-related purposes are more likely to complete their courses and benefit from the skills gained, particularly in fields like technology and business. However, the issue of low completion rates remains, especially for learners pursuing personal enrichment, highlighting the need for improved course design and learner support.

The findings suggest that while MOOCs have great potential, there is room for improvement in how these platforms engage learners and support them through to course completion. Increasing retention rates will require addressing the challenges of time management, personalized feedback, and

motivation. By enhancing these aspects, MOOCs can become a more powerful and reliable means of fostering lifelong learning and professional development for a diverse range of learners.

The study reveals that MOOCs play a significant role in supporting both lifelong learning and professional development, particularly for learners with clear career goals. Among the respondents, 80% reported improved personal or professional skills through MOOCs, while 60% experienced direct career benefits such as job promotions or enhanced job performance. However, the issue of low completion rates remains a significant challenge, with only 40% of learners completing their courses. This suggests that while MOOCs offer valuable learning opportunities, many participants struggle to complete the courses they start.

Motivations play a critical role in course completion. Learners driven by career-oriented goals were more likely to finish their courses, while those pursuing MOOCs for personal enrichment often did not complete the course. Additionally, prior experience with online learning emerged as an important factor in predicting MOOC completion, indicating that learners familiar with online platforms are better equipped to manage self-directed learning environments. This underscores the importance of motivation and prior knowledge in determining MOOC success.

The findings of this study align with existing research that highlights the potential of MOOCs for professional development and skill acquisition. Studies have consistently shown that MOOCs are particularly effective for learners in fields like technology, where skill certification is a clear goal. This study reinforces those conclusions by showing that learners in technical fields had higher completion rates and were more likely to apply their new skills in a professional context. The results confirm the established link

between professional motivations and MOOC success.

However, this study also differs from others by placing a stronger emphasis on the challenges associated with personal enrichment learners. While many studies focus on the benefits of MOOCs for professional growth, this research highlights the fact that learners seeking personal development often face lower completion rates. This suggests that the structure of MOOCs may not fully cater to those who engage with the content casually or without specific career goals. The finding introduces a new layer of complexity regarding how MOOCs can be designed to meet the needs of both professional and personal learners.

The results of this study signal a broader issue regarding the diversity of learner needs in online education. MOOCs, while effective for many, are not a one-size-fits-all solution. The lower completion rates for personal enrichment learners suggest that the current structure of MOOCs may not provide enough engagement or motivation for those who are not pursuing formal certifications or career advancements. This reflects a gap in how MOOCs are designed, with a focus primarily on professional outcomes rather than the broader scope of lifelong learning.

The high completion rates for learners in career-oriented fields indicate that MOOCs are particularly successful when there are clear, tangible goals that drive engagement. This suggests that MOOCs function best when learners have a strong external motivator, such as a desire for job advancement. For learners without these goals, the flexibility and open nature of MOOCs can lead to disengagement. These findings raise important questions about how MOOCs can evolve to support the full spectrum of lifelong learning, beyond professional development.

The findings have important implications for educators, course designers, and policymakers who are looking to enhance the

role of MOOCs in education. For professional learners, MOOCs offer a scalable and accessible way to gain industry-specific skills and credentials. Employers can recognize MOOC certifications as evidence of initiative and expertise, making MOOCs a valuable tool for career progression. However, improving retention rates remains critical for maximizing the potential of MOOCs, especially for learners who enroll for personal enrichment.

The study also highlights the need for greater personalization in MOOCs to cater to diverse learner motivations. To address the issue of low completion rates, MOOCs should incorporate more interactive elements, such as personalized feedback, peer collaboration, and flexible pathways that accommodate both professional and casual learners. For personal enrichment learners, providing opportunities for exploration and engagement without the pressure of completion could enhance their experience and keep them engaged with the material over time.

The difference in completion rates between professional and personal enrichment learners can be attributed to the clarity of goals. Professional learners often approach MOOCs with specific, tangible objectives, such as gaining certifications or acquiring skills for job advancement. This clear motivation drives them to complete courses despite challenges. In contrast, personal enrichment learners may enroll out of curiosity or a desire for intellectual engagement without a pressing need to complete the course. Without external pressures or clear end goals, these learners are more likely to disengage.

The role of prior experience with online learning also helps explain the differences in success rates. Learners who have completed online courses before are more familiar with the demands of self-directed learning, making them more likely to stay committed to their MOOCs. For first-time online learners, the open-ended nature of MOOCs can feel overwhelming,

leading to lower completion rates. The findings suggest that familiarity with the online learning environment plays a crucial role in determining a learner's ability to complete courses.

The structure of MOOCs also contributes to these outcomes. Courses that are designed with a clear pathway, regular feedback, and opportunities for interaction are more likely to keep learners engaged. For career-oriented learners, these features provide necessary support to achieve their goals. For personal learners, however, MOOCs may need to offer more flexibility and less rigid structures to accommodate their different motivations. These structural factors influence the overall effectiveness of MOOCs in catering to a broad range of learner types.

Moving forward, MOOC platforms should focus on creating more adaptive and personalized learning experiences to cater to both professional and personal enrichment learners. By integrating features such as personalized feedback, peer interactions, and pathways that allow for both casual exploration and formal completion, MOOCs can better engage learners with diverse motivations. Improving these aspects of course design will be essential to increasing retention rates and ensuring that MOOCs remain an effective tool for lifelong learning.

MOOCs should also explore new ways to support first-time online learners. Offering introductory modules or scaffolding techniques that ease learners into the online environment could help mitigate the challenges faced by those unfamiliar with self-directed learning. Platforms could also implement progress-tracking systems that encourage learners to set personal goals and monitor their advancement, helping to maintain motivation throughout the course.

Future research should focus on identifying the specific factors that contribute to MOOC success for different learner types. Longitudinal studies that track learner outcomes over time would provide valuable insights into how

MOOCs contribute to long-term career development and personal growth. Additionally, research into how various demographic groups—such as age, profession, or educational background—experience MOOCs differently will help course designers create more inclusive and effective learning environments.

The findings from this study point to a need for continuous innovation in the design and delivery of MOOCs. As the demand for flexible, accessible education grows, MOOCs must evolve to meet the needs of a wider range of learners. By addressing the challenges identified in this research, MOOCs can become an even more powerful tool for fostering both lifelong learning and professional development across diverse global communities.

VI. CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this research is the significant impact that MOOCs have on lifelong learning and professional development, particularly for learners with career-oriented goals. Participants who enrolled in MOOCs to gain new skills or improve their job performance were more likely to complete their courses and report tangible benefits such as job promotions or enhanced expertise. However, the study also revealed the challenge of low completion rates, especially among learners pursuing MOOCs for personal enrichment, highlighting the need for improvements in course design and learner support.

Motivation was found to be a key factor influencing MOOC success. Learners with clear professional goals and prior experience with online education were more likely to complete their courses. In contrast, those who enrolled out of curiosity or for casual learning often did not finish the courses, indicating that MOOCs may need to better accommodate learners with different motivations. These findings suggest that while MOOCs are effective for many learners,

more personalized and flexible approaches are necessary to engage a broader range of users.

This research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by offering a nuanced understanding of how learner motivations and prior experience influence the effectiveness of MOOCs. The study emphasizes the importance of aligning MOOCs with both professional development goals and personal enrichment needs, suggesting that MOOCs should be designed with greater flexibility to cater to diverse learner profiles. By highlighting the role of motivation and self-directed learning, the study advances the conversation on how MOOCs can be better structured to meet different educational needs.

The mixed-methods approach used in this study provides a comprehensive view of how MOOCs function for different types of learners. By combining quantitative survey data with qualitative insights from learner interviews, the research offers valuable perspectives on both the measurable outcomes and personal experiences of MOOC participants. This methodology adds depth to the analysis of MOOC effectiveness, contributing to the broader discourse on how online education can support lifelong learning across various demographics.

One limitation of this study is its focus on a specific set of MOOCs and learners, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other platforms or learner populations. While the study includes participants from diverse professional backgrounds, a larger and more varied sample could provide additional insights into how different demographic groups engage with MOOCs. Furthermore, the study focuses primarily on short-term outcomes, such as course completion and perceived skill acquisition, without exploring the long-term impact of MOOCs on career trajectories or personal growth.

Future research should investigate the long-term effects of MOOCs on learners' professional and personal development. Longitudinal studies

that track the career progression and skill application of MOOC participants over time would offer valuable insights into the lasting benefits of these courses. Additionally, further research is needed to explore how MOOCs can be tailored to better meet the needs of casual learners and those pursuing personal enrichment, providing a more holistic understanding of how MOOCs support lifelong learning in diverse contexts.

IV. REFERENCE

Allan, J. F., Doran, A., Jones, R., & Farrell, S. (2024). Building resilience and well-being for post-covid adolescents through outdoor adventure. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14729679.2024.2312920>

Andersen, M. B. (2021). Et kvantitatitv blik på forældredeltagelse i skolesamarbejdet: Generelle tendenser i forhold til uddannelse, køn og klassetrin. *Nordic Studies in Education*, 41(4), 331–348. <https://doi.org/10.23865/nse.v41.2813>

Angell, K., & Huseby, R. (2019). Global Luck Egalitarianism and Border Control. *Ratio Juris*, 32(2), 177–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raju.12236>

Babu, V. D., & Malathi, K. (2023). Three-stage multi-objective feature selection for distributed systems. *Soft Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-07865-y>

Benedetti, B., Dannehl, D., König, R., Coviello, S., Kreutzer, C., Zaunmair, P., Jakubecova, D., Weiger, T. M., Aigner, L., Nacher, J., Engelhardt, M., & Couillard-Després, S. (2020). Functional Integration of Neuronal Precursors in the Adult Murine Piriform Cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, 30(3), 1499–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz181>

Brandherm, F., Peters, J., Neumann, G., & Akrou, R. (2019). Learning Replanning Policies With Direct Policy Search. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 4(2), 2196–2203. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2019.2901656>

Brix, J. (2019). Ambidexterity and organizational learning: Revisiting and reconnecting the literatures. *The Learning Organization*, 26(4), 337–351. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-02-2019-0034>

Bu, N., Khelif, M. S., Lemmens, R., Wouters, A., Fiebach, J. B., Chamorro, A., Ringelstein, E. B., Norrving, B., Laage, R., Grond, M., Wilms, G., Brodtmann, A., & Thijs, V. (2021). Imaging Markers of Brain Frailty and Outcome in Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke*, 52(3), 1004–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.029841>

C, L. R., & K, M. (2024). Real-Time Detection and Categorization of Cache Side-Channel Attacks Using Deep Learning and Morlet Wavelet Assistance. *International Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering*, 11(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.14445/23488549/IJECE-V11I1P102>

Cadogan, A., & Potter, M. (2023). Evaluating the benefits of the MOVE mentoring programme to mentors and early career physiotherapists. *New Zealand Journal of Physiotherapy*, 51(3). <https://doi.org/10.15619/nzjp.v51i3.373>

Chourreau, P., Guerret, O., Guillonneau, L., Gayon, E., & Lefèvre, G. (2020). Short and Easily Scalable Synthesis of the Sex Pheromone of the Horse-Chestnut Leaf Miner (*Cameraria ohridella*) Relying on a Key Ligand- and Additive-Free Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling. *Organic Process Research & Development*, 24(7), 1335–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.0c00191>

Christensen, R., Greenhalgh, S., & Thomassen, A. (2019). *When a Business Case Is Not Enough, Motivation to Work With Lean*. 275–286. <https://doi.org/10.24928/2019/0146>

D'Arcy, M. S. (2019). Cell death: A review of the major forms of apoptosis, necrosis and autophagy. *Cell Biology International*, 43(6), 582–592. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11137>

Esmaeili Charkhab, M., Liu, Y., Belousov, B., Peters, J., & Tessmann, O. (2023). Designing for Robotic (Dis-)Assembly. In

K. Dörfler, J. Knippers, A. Menges, S. Parascho, H. Pottmann, & T. Wortmann (Eds.), *Advances in Architectural Geometry 2023* (pp. 275–288). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/978311162683-021>

Evers, D.-H., Carle, S., Lakatos, D., Hä默ling, F., Garidel, P., & Buske, J. (2021). Hydrolytic polysorbate 20 degradation – Sensitive detection of free fatty acids in biopharmaceuticals via UPLC-QDa analytics with isolator column. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 1174, 122717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2021.122717>

Funk, N., Helmut, E., Chalvatzaki, G., Calandra, R., & Peters, J. (2024). Evetac: An Event-Based Optical Tactile Sensor for Robotic Manipulation. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 40, 3812–3832. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TRO.2024.3428430>

Henkel, O., Hills, L., Boxer, A., Roberts, B., & Levonian, Z. (2024). Can Large Language Models Make the Grade? An Empirical Study Evaluating LLMs Ability To Mark Short Answer Questions in K-12 Education. *Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale*, 300–304. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3657604.3664693>

Herbig, M. E., Evers, D.-H., Gorissen, S., & Köllmer, M. (2023). Rational Design of Topical Semi-Solid Dosage Forms-How Far Are We? *Pharmaceutics*, 15(7), 1822. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics15071822>

Hindhede, A. L., & Andersen, V. (2019). Interdisciplinary Promises and Hierarchical Ambiguities in a Danish Hospital Context. *Professions and Professionalism*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.7577/pp.2862>

Hindhede, A. L., & Højbjerg, K. (2022). How Teachers Balance Language Proficiency and Pedagogical Ideals at Universities in Indigenous and Postcolonial Societies: The Case of the University of Greenland. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 21(6), 439–452. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15348458.2020.1832496>

Kaup, C. F. (2022). Kortlægning af relationen mellem computationel tankegang og matematik med udgangspunkt i problemløsning. *Acta Didactica Norden*, 16(4). <https://doi.org/10.5617/adno.9185>

Kim, B. J., & Chung, J.-B. (2021). When beef consumption becomes politicized: Longitudinal change of US beef purchase intention and political values in Korea. *Food Policy*, 105, 102171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2021.102171>

Klink, P., D'Eramo, C., Peters, J., & Pajarinen, J. (2024). On the Benefit of Optimal Transport for Curriculum Reinforcement Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 46(11), 7191–7204. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2024.3390051>

Köllmer, M., Mossahebi, P., Sacharow, E., Gorissen, S., Gräfe, N., Evers, D.-H., & Herbig, M. E. (2019). Investigation of the Compatibility of the Skin PAMPA Model with Topical Formulation and Acceptor Media Additives Using Different Assay Setups. *AAPS PharmSciTech*, 20(2), 89. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-019-1305-3>

Kollosche, D., Marcone, R., Knigge, M., Penteado, M. G., & Skovsmose, O. (2019). Inclusive Mathematics Education: An Introduction. In D. Kollosche, R. Marcone, M. Knigge, M. G. Penteado, & O. Skovsmose (Eds.), *Inclusive Mathematics Education* (pp. 3–6). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11518-0-1>

Laffan, C. F., Coleshill, J. E., Stanfield, B., Stanfield, M., & Ferworn, A. (2020). Using the ARAIG Haptic Suit to Assist in Navigating Firefighters Out of Hazardous Environments. *2020 11th IEEE Annual Information Technology, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference (IEMCON)*, 0439–0444. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMCON51383.2020.9284922>

Liu, P., Zhang, K., Tateo, D., Jauhri, S., Hu, Z., Peters, J., & Chalvatzaki, G. (2023). Safe Reinforcement Learning of Dynamic High-Dimensional Robotic Tasks: Navigation,

Manipulation, Interaction. 2023 *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 9449–9456. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA48891.2023.10161548>

Liu, Y., Belousov, B., Funk, N., Chalvatzaki, G., Peters, J., & Tessmann, O. (2023). Auto(mated)nomous Assembly. In A. Gomes Correia, M. Azenha, P. J. S. Cruz, P. Novais, & P. Pereira (Eds.), *Trends on Construction in the Digital Era* (Vol. 306, pp. 167–181). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20241-4_12

Lutter, M., Belousov, B., Mannor, S., Fox, D., Garg, A., & Peters, J. (2022). Continuous-Time Fitted Value Iteration for Robust Policies. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2022.3215769>

Malathi, K., & Ruby, E. D. K. (2024). Evaluating Machine Learning Linear Regresion Model for DVT Prediction in Rehabilitation Patients. 2024 Ninth International Conference on Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (ICONSTEM), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICONSTEM60960.2024.10568850>

Malathi, K., Ruby, E. D. K., Gangadharan, K., & Kumar M, R. (2024). Revolutionizing Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) Management: Machine Learning Unveils Precision in Early Detection. 2024 Ninth International Conference on Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (ICONSTEM), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICONSTEM60960.2024.10568667>

Niggel, A. (2023). Transformer la formation des aides-soignants, un enjeu majeur pour l'avenir. *L'Aide-Soignante*, 37(252), 11–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aidsoi.2023.10.004>

Puschmann, J., Evers, D.-H., Müller-Goymann, C. C., & Herbig, M. E. (2019). Development of a design of experiments optimized method for quantification of polysorbate 80 based on oleic acid using UHPLC-MS. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1599, 136–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2019.04.015>

Ramkumar, M., Malathi, K., & Pavithra, K. (2023). Optimizing Machine Learning Model Accuracy via OBNT Algorithm: Advanced Data Preprocessing Technique. 2023 International Conference on Innovative Computing, Intelligent Communication and Smart Electrical Systems (ICSES), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSES60034.2023.10465344>

Ratsch, B. E., Levine, D., & Wakshlag, J. J. (2022). Clinical Guide to Obesity and Nonherbal Nutraceuticals in Canine Orthopedic Conditions. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*, 52(4), 939–958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2022.03.002>

Rithish, P., Muthiah, J., & Chidambaram, N. (2023). A Strange Model for Secure Medical Image Transaction—An Attractor Approach. 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128395>

Rønberg, M. T. (2019). Living under a diagnostic description: Navigating images, metaphors, and sounds of depression. *Subjectivity*, 12(2), 171–191. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41286-019-00070-z>

Stegeager, N., & Sørensen, P. (2021). Rethinking Transfer of Training: Continuing Education as Collaborative Practice. In B. Elkjaer, M. M. Lotz, & N. C. Mossfeldt Nickelsen (Eds.), *Current Practices in Workplace and Organizational Learning* (pp. 221–236). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85060-9_14

Urain, J., Li, A., Liu, P., D'Eramo, C., & Peters, J. (2023). Composable energy policies for reactive motion generation and reinforcement learning. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 42(10), 827–858. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0278364923117949>

Wood, J. (2024). Shifts in digital media usage before and after the pandemic by Rusyns in Ukraine. *Linguistics Vanguard*.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/lingvan-2023-0156>