OPEN ACCESS
Al-Hijr: Journal of Adulearn World
Vol. 5 No. 3. June 2026, pp. 25-40 DOI. 10.55849/alhijr.v5i3.1301

Research Article

THE ROLE OF AI IN TRANSFORMING THE TRADITIONAL CURRICULUM INTO A PERSONALIZED LEARNING EXPERIENCE

Nur Fauzia Dauango[1], Nong Chai[2], and Jhems Richard Hasan[3]
[1] Institut Agama Islam Negeri Sultan Amai Gorontalo, Indonesia
[2] Chulalongkorn University, Thailand
[3] Institut Agama Islam Negeri Sultan Amai Gorontalo, Indonesia

Corresponding Author:

Nur Fauzia Dauango,
Department of Electrical Engineering Vocational Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Institut Agama Islam Negeri Sultan Amai Gorontalo, Indonesia.
Jl. Gelatik No. 1, Kelurahan Heledulaa Utara, Kecamatan Kota Timur, Kota Gorontalo., Indonesia
Email: nurfauzia205@gmail.com

Article Info
Received: January 29, 2026
Revised: April 25, 2026
Accepted: May 31, 2026
Online Version: June 30, 2026

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in contemporary education, creating new opportunities to address the limitations of traditional curriculum models that often fail to accommodate diverse learner needs, abilities, and learning preferences. Increasing demand for learner-centered education has encouraged educational institutions to explore AI-driven solutions capable of supporting adaptive instruction, individualized learning pathways, and data-informed decision-making. This study aims to examine the role of AI in transforming the traditional curriculum into a personalized learning experience and to explore its implications for learner engagement, self-directed learning, and educational satisfaction. A mixed-methods sequential explanatory design was employed. Quantitative data were collected from 450 participants, including students, teachers, curriculum developers, educational technology specialists, and academic administrators. Qualitative interviews were subsequently conducted to gain deeper insights into curriculum transformation processes and stakeholder experiences. Structural Equation Modeling and thematic analysis were used to analyze the data. Findings revealed that AI utilization significantly enhanced curriculum flexibility, personalized learning effectiveness, learner engagement, self-directed learning, and learning satisfaction. Personalized learning effectiveness emerged as a key mediating factor linking AI implementation to positive educational outcomes. Qualitative evidence further demonstrated that adaptive learning systems and learning analytics facilitated more responsive and individualized educational experiences. The study concludes that AI serves as a strategic enabler of curriculum transformation, supporting the development of flexible, learner-centered, and personalized learning ecosystems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Curriculum Transformation; Educational Innovation; Personalized Learning; Technology-Enhanced Learning.

Journal Homepage	https://ejournal.staialhikmahpariangan.ac.id/Journal/index.php/alhijr
How to cite:	Dauango, N. F., Chai, N., & Hasan, J. R. (2026). The Role of AI in Transforming the Traditional Curriculum into a Personalized Learning Experience. *Al-Hijr: Journal of Adulearn World, 5*(3), 25–40. https://doi.org/10.55849/alhijr.v4i1.1420
Published by:	Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Al-Hikmah Pariangan Batusangkar

INTRODUCTION

Education systems have historically relied on standardized curricula designed to provide uniform learning experiences for large groups of students (Chavva, 2025). Traditional curriculum models emphasize consistency, centralized content delivery, standardized assessment practices, and predetermined learning pathways. Such approaches have contributed significantly to the expansion of educational access and the establishment of common academic standards. Diverse student characteristics, however, including differences in prior knowledge, learning pace, cognitive abilities, interests, motivations, and socio-cultural backgrounds, challenge the effectiveness of one-size-fits-all instructional models (Pradhan et al., 2025). Growing recognition of learner diversity has intensified calls for more adaptive and individualized educational approaches.

Advances in digital technology have transformed educational environments and expanded opportunities for innovation in teaching and learning. Learning management systems, adaptive educational platforms, learning analytics, and intelligent tutoring systems have introduced new possibilities for tailoring instruction to individual learners (Jong, 2024). Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most influential technologies driving these transformations. AI-enabled systems possess the capacity to analyze learner behavior, identify performance patterns, generate personalized recommendations, and adapt instructional content in real time (Hasan, 2026). Such capabilities position AI as a potentially transformative force within contemporary education.

Personalized learning has become a central objective in educational reform efforts worldwide (Al-Said et al., 2026). Educational policymakers, institutions, and researchers increasingly advocate learning environments that accommodate individual learner needs, preferences, and developmental trajectories. AI technologies offer unprecedented opportunities to operationalize personalized learning at scale by supporting adaptive instruction, individualized assessment, and data-informed decision-making. Rapid growth in AI applications within education has consequently generated significant scholarly interest regarding their potential to reshape traditional curriculum structures and learning experiences (Yazdani et al., 2025). Understanding this transformation has become increasingly important for educational research and practice.

Traditional curriculum models frequently encounter difficulties in addressing the diverse learning needs of contemporary students. Standardized instructional approaches often assume relatively uniform learning characteristics despite substantial variation among learners (Liu et al., 2025). Students who progress at different rates or possess distinct learning preferences may experience challenges when instructional content and assessment methods remain fixed. Such limitations can reduce learner engagement, academic performance, and educational equity.

Artificial Intelligence has been widely promoted as a solution capable of overcoming limitations associated with conventional curriculum models (J. S. Park, 2026). Educational institutions have increasingly adopted AI-driven platforms designed to provide adaptive content, automated feedback, personalized learning pathways, and predictive learning support. Questions remain, however, regarding the extent to which AI genuinely transforms curriculum structures rather than merely digitizing existing instructional practices (Wei et al., 2025). Uncertainty persists concerning how AI influences curriculum design, learner autonomy, pedagogical relationships, and educational outcomes.

Current understanding of the mechanisms through which AI contributes to personalized learning remains incomplete (Xing, 2021). Existing discussions frequently emphasize technological capabilities while providing less attention to educational implications, curriculum transformation processes, and learner experiences. Limited consensus exists regarding the conditions under which AI can effectively support personalized learning while maintaining educational quality, equity, and ethical responsibility (Anyinkeng et al., 2025). Addressing these concerns is essential for understanding the future role of AI in educational innovation.

This study aims to examine the role of Artificial Intelligence in transforming traditional curriculum models into personalized learning experiences. Investigation focuses on understanding how AI technologies contribute to curriculum adaptation, instructional personalization, learner support, and educational decision-making (Tang et al., 2025). Analysis seeks to identify the mechanisms through which AI facilitates more individualized and responsive learning environments.

Another objective of the study is to explore relationships among AI implementation, learner engagement, academic performance, self-directed learning, and educational satisfaction (Kumari, 2025). Examination considers how adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring platforms, learning analytics, and automated feedback mechanisms influence student experiences and learning outcomes. Evaluation of these dimensions is expected to provide a comprehensive understanding of AI-enabled personalization in education.

The study further seeks to develop a conceptual framework integrating educational theory, curriculum studies, learning analytics, and artificial intelligence research (Patel et al., 2026). Findings are expected to contribute practical recommendations for educators, curriculum developers, educational institutions, and policymakers interested in implementing AI-supported personalized learning models (Salama et al., 2026). Achievement of these objectives may strengthen understanding of how curriculum transformation can be achieved within increasingly digital educational ecosystems.

Existing literature has extensively examined the theoretical foundations of personalized learning and learner-centered education (Pék, 2026). Research consistently highlights the importance of accommodating individual differences in learning styles, prior knowledge, motivation, interests, and developmental needs. Studies grounded in constructivist learning theory, differentiated instruction, and personalized learning frameworks emphasize the value of adapting educational experiences to support diverse learners (Sarzhanova et al., 2025). Such contributions have significantly advanced understanding of individualized learning approaches.

Current scholarship concerning AI in education has expanded rapidly over the past decade (Zhan & Chen, 2025). Research frequently investigates intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated assessment tools, predictive analytics, and conversational AI applications. Findings generally indicate positive effects on learner engagement, academic achievement, and instructional efficiency (Talegaonkar & Bhagwat, 2025). Several studies have demonstrated the potential of AI to provide personalized recommendations and real-time support for learners. Positive outcomes have encouraged broader adoption of AI technologies across educational contexts.

Comprehensive investigations specifically examining the transformation of traditional curriculum structures through AI remain relatively limited. Existing studies often focus on technological effectiveness or isolated learning outcomes without exploring broader curriculum implications (Sotaminga-Cinilin & Uribe-Laverde, 2026). Limited attention has been directed toward understanding how AI reshapes curriculum design, instructional sequencing, assessment practices, learner agency, and educational governance. Research rarely integrates curriculum theory, learning analytics, AI adoption models, and personalized learning frameworks within a unified analytical perspective (Dhakshan et al., 2023). Addressing these gaps may contribute substantially to educational technology scholarship.

The novelty of this study lies in its explicit focus on curriculum transformation rather than solely on technological implementation (J. Park et al., 2023). Existing research commonly evaluates the effectiveness of AI tools in supporting specific instructional functions. This study advances the literature by examining how AI contributes to the restructuring of traditional curriculum models into dynamic and personalized learning ecosystems (Yaqin et al., 2026).

Such a perspective broadens understanding of AI's educational significance beyond operational efficiency and instructional automation.

Innovative value is further reflected in the integration of Personalized Learning Theory, Constructivist Learning Theory, Learning Analytics Frameworks, and Technology Acceptance perspectives within a comprehensive conceptual model (Assayed et al., 2026). The proposed framework examines interactions among AI capabilities, learner characteristics, curriculum design processes, instructional practices, and educational outcomes (Hefetz, 2025). Exploration of these relationships contributes new theoretical insights regarding the mechanisms through which AI supports educational personalization and curriculum innovation.

The significance of this research extends beyond academic discourse to encompass practical implications for educational institutions, curriculum developers, teachers, and policymakers (Vivekanandan & Rajeswari, 2026). Educational systems worldwide face increasing pressure to provide more flexible, inclusive, and learner-centered experiences. AI technologies offer opportunities to address these challenges while introducing new ethical, pedagogical, and governance considerations (Raju et al., 2018). Findings from this study are expected to provide evidence-based guidance supporting responsible and effective integration of AI into curriculum development and personalized learning initiatives. Such contributions may help shape the future direction of education in an era increasingly influenced by intelligent technologies.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design using a sequential explanatory approach to investigate the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in transforming traditional curriculum models into personalized learning experiences (Salem, 2026). The mixed-methods approach was selected because curriculum transformation involves pedagogical, technological, organizational, and learner-centered dimensions that cannot be fully understood through a single methodological perspective. Quantitative data are collected in the first phase to examine relationships among AI utilization, curriculum personalization, learner engagement, academic performance, and learning satisfaction. Qualitative data are subsequently gathered to provide deeper insights into how educators and learners experience AI-supported curriculum adaptation in real educational settings. The theoretical framework of the study is informed by Personalized Learning Theory, Constructivist Learning Theory, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), and Learning Analytics Theory, which collectively provide a foundation for understanding instruction adaptation, active knowledge construction, user interface usability, and data-driven educational decision-making (J. H. J. Ortega et al., 2026). A descriptive-explanatory strategy was adopted to identify the mechanisms through which AI technologies contribute to curriculum transformation, utilizing Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to examine causal relationships among latent variables and qualitative thematic analysis to explore stakeholder experiences and challenges.

Research Target/Subject

The primary objective of this study is to investigate how AI technology contributes to transforming traditional curricula into personalized learning experiences. The research targets the measurement of key structural relationships among latent variables, specifically tracking how AI utilization, perceived usefulness, and adaptive learning effectiveness influence learner engagement, self-directed learning, and overall learning satisfaction. By investigating these target areas, the study aims to identify the specific mechanisms of instructional adaptation, personalized feedback pathways, and curriculum flexibility, while uncovering the practical challenges, changed teacher roles, and ethical considerations that educational institutions must navigate during AI implementation.

The population of the study consists of diverse stakeholders directly involved in AI-supported educational environments. For the quantitative phase, a sample of 450 respondents was selected using a stratified purposive sampling technique based on professional experience with adaptive educational platforms and learning analytics, comprising 250 students, 100 teachers, 40 curriculum developers, 30 educational technology specialists, and 30 academic administrators. For the subsequent qualitative phase, a subset of 35 participants was purposively selected from the larger quantitative sample to ensure practical experience with AI-based curriculum deployment, including educators, curriculum designers, institutional leaders, and students who could provide detailed insights regarding the impact of AI on teaching and learning processes.

Research Procedure

The operational workflow was executed across several systematic phases, beginning with an extensive literature review to establish the conceptual model, followed by securing formal ethical approvals and informed consents (Ronan, 2025). In the first phase, quantitative data collection was conducted via online surveys distributed to the targeted stakeholder groups, followed by strict data screening procedures to evaluate missing values, normality, outliers, multicollinearity, and response consistency. In the second phase, qualitative semi-structured interviews were conducted with the purposively selected subset of 35 experts, alongside the collection of institutional reports, curriculum documents, and implementation guidelines for documentary analysis. The final phase focused on transcribing the interviews, performing thematic analysis, and integrating the qualitative narratives with the quantitative statistical paths to generate a holistic interpretation of how AI drives curriculum innovation.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

Data collection utilized a triangulation of structured surveys, semi-structured interview protocols, and formal documentary analysis (Juárez-Vázquez et al., 2026). The primary quantitative instrument consisted of a structured questionnaire utilizing a five-point Likert scale, ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree, to measure stakeholder perceptions of AI utilization, perceived usefulness, adaptive learning effectiveness, curriculum flexibility, learner engagement, and self-directed learning. The qualitative data collection used a semi-structured interview guide focusing on instructional adaptation, learning pathways, learner autonomy, teacher roles, and ethical considerations, supplemented by a documentary analysis matrix to evaluate institutional report frameworks and educational technology policies (Levin et al., 2025). Prior to deployment, content validity was established through expert evaluation by specialists in curriculum studies, digital learning sciences, and artificial intelligence, while construct validity and reliability were verified through Confirmatory Factor Analysis, Cronbach’s alpha, Composite Reliability, and Average Variance Extracted values.

Data Analysis Technique

The study applies a dual-analysis framework to process, analyze, and interpret the collected datasets (Yue & Sukumaran, 2025). Quantitative data are analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to evaluate the proposed conceptual framework, mapping path coefficients, evaluating model fit indices, and identifying significant mediating effects among AI utilization, curriculum personalization, engagement, and learning outcomes. Concurrently, the qualitative interview transcripts and document data are processed through thematic analysis to isolate recurring patterns regarding instructional adaptation barriers, technological challenges, and future directions for AI implementation (Ahsan et al., 2026). The final analytical stage involves the triangulation and integration of the statistical SEM path findings with the extracted qualitative themes, ensuring a balanced, evidence-based conclusion that addresses both the measurable outcomes of technology utilization and the subjective experiences of institutional stakeholders.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study involved 450 respondents representing multiple stakeholders engaged in AI-supported educational environments. Participants consisted of 250 students (55.6%), 100 teachers (22.2%), 40 curriculum developers (8.9%), 30 educational technology specialists (6.7%), and 30 academic administrators (6.7%). Respondents were selected from institutions that had implemented adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics dashboards, or AI-assisted instructional tools. Descriptive findings revealed that 86.4% of participants had direct experience using AI-supported educational technologies within formal learning environments.

Statistical analysis demonstrated highly positive perceptions regarding the contribution of AI to curriculum transformation and personalized learning. Mean scores for AI utilization reached 4.41 (SD = 0.46), personalized learning effectiveness recorded 4.35 (SD = 0.49), learner engagement achieved 4.29 (SD = 0.52), learning satisfaction reached 4.24 (SD = 0.55), self-directed learning recorded 4.18 (SD = 0.57), and curriculum flexibility achieved 4.22 (SD = 0.53).

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of AI-Supported Personalized Learning Variables

Variable	Mean	SD
AI Utilization	4.41	0.46
Personalized Learning Effectiveness	4.35	0.49
Learner Engagement	4.29	0.52
Learning Satisfaction	4.24	0.55
Curriculum Flexibility	4.22	0.53
Self-Directed Learning	4.18	0.57

The descriptive findings indicate that stakeholders generally perceive AI as a powerful catalyst for transforming conventional curriculum structures into more adaptive and learner-centered educational experiences. Respondents emphasized that AI technologies facilitate individualized content delivery, real-time feedback, and adaptive learning pathways that accommodate differences in learner abilities, preferences, and learning pace. High scores for AI utilization and personalized learning effectiveness suggest strong confidence in the educational value of intelligent learning systems.

Learner engagement and learning satisfaction also demonstrated favorable outcomes. Participants reported that AI-enabled instructional environments increased motivation, participation, and perceived relevance of learning activities. Personalized recommendations and adaptive assessments appeared to support greater involvement in learning processes. Such findings indicate that AI contributes not only to instructional efficiency but also to enhanced learner experiences.

Evaluation of the measurement model demonstrated satisfactory psychometric quality. Standardized factor loadings ranged from 0.774 to 0.936, exceeding recommended thresholds for construct validity. Composite Reliability values ranged from 0.891 to 0.948, while Cronbach’s alpha coefficients varied between 0.857 and 0.924. Average Variance Extracted values exceeded 0.50 across all latent constructs, supporting convergent validity.

Discriminant validity analyses confirmed that AI utilization, curriculum flexibility, learner engagement, personalized learning effectiveness, self-directed learning, and learning satisfaction represented distinct but related constructs. Cross-loading evaluations and Fornell-Larcker analyses demonstrated satisfactory differentiation among variables. These findings indicate that the instruments accurately captured the multidimensional aspects of AI-supported curriculum transformation.

Structural Equation Modeling revealed significant positive relationships among the principal variables. AI utilization significantly influenced curriculum flexibility ($\beta = 0.683$, $p < 0.001$), personalized learning effectiveness ($\beta = 0.721$, $p < 0.001$), and learner engagement ($\beta = 0.594$, $p < 0.001$). Curriculum flexibility further demonstrated a positive effect on self-directed learning ($\beta = 0.528$, $p < 0.001$), while personalized learning effectiveness significantly predicted learning satisfaction ($\beta = 0.674$, $p < 0.001$).

Model fit indices demonstrated strong explanatory power and acceptable fit. The structural model produced CFI = 0.972, TLI = 0.968, RMSEA = 0.031, and SRMR = 0.028. Mediation analysis indicated that personalized learning effectiveness partially mediated the relationship between AI utilization and learning satisfaction. These results suggest that AI contributes to positive educational outcomes primarily through its capacity to personalize learning experiences.

Correlation analysis revealed strong positive associations among AI utilization, personalized learning effectiveness, learner engagement, and learning satisfaction. AI utilization demonstrated a substantial relationship with personalized learning effectiveness ($r = .74$, $p < 0.001$), while personalized learning effectiveness exhibited a strong association with learning satisfaction ($r = .71$, $p < 0.001$). These findings indicate that successful AI implementation contributes directly to the quality of personalized learning experiences.

Curriculum flexibility was positively associated with self-directed learning ($r = .66$, $p < 0.001$) and learner engagement ($r = .61$, $p < 0.001$). Students who perceived greater flexibility in learning pathways also reported higher levels of autonomy and participation. Such findings suggest that adaptive curriculum structures support both learner independence and active engagement within educational environments.

A representative case involved a university that implemented an AI-driven adaptive learning platform within introductory mathematics courses. Prior to implementation, instruction followed a standardized curriculum sequence in which all students completed identical learning activities regardless of prior knowledge or performance. Following implementation of the AI system, learning content, practice activities, and assessment tasks were dynamically adjusted according to individual learner progress and achievement levels.

Institutional reports demonstrated significant improvements in learner engagement, course completion rates, and academic performance. Students reported greater satisfaction with personalized learning pathways and appreciated receiving immediate feedback tailored to their individual learning needs. Faculty members observed increased participation and more effective differentiation of instruction across diverse student populations.

A second case involved a secondary school that integrated AI-powered learning analytics into curriculum planning and instructional decision-making. Teachers utilized predictive analytics dashboards to identify students requiring additional support and to customize instructional interventions accordingly. Personalized recommendations were generated automatically based on learner performance data and behavioral indicators.

Evaluation results indicated improvements in student achievement, learning motivation, and self-regulated learning behaviors. Teachers reported enhanced capacity to monitor learner progress and adapt instructional strategies in response to individual needs. Students described learning experiences as more relevant, engaging, and responsive than traditional classroom approaches. These outcomes illustrate the practical benefits of AI-supported curriculum transformation.

The first case demonstrates how AI technologies facilitate the transition from standardized curriculum models toward adaptive and personalized learning environments. Dynamic content adjustment, real-time feedback, and individualized learning pathways enabled more effective alignment between instructional activities and learner needs. Such outcomes support quantitative findings indicating strong relationships between AI utilization and personalized learning effectiveness.

Observations from the second case highlight the importance of data-driven decision-making in curriculum transformation. AI-supported analytics enabled educators to identify learning patterns, predict academic challenges, and implement targeted interventions. Enhanced access to learner data contributed to more responsive instructional practices and improved educational outcomes.

Consistency between quantitative and qualitative findings strengthens confidence in the proposed explanatory framework. Statistical evidence indicating positive relationships among AI utilization, curriculum flexibility, learner engagement, and learning satisfaction was reflected in stakeholder experiences and institutional outcomes. Similar patterns emerged across diverse educational settings and implementation contexts.

Broader explanatory trends indicate that AI functions as an enabling infrastructure for educational personalization rather than merely an instructional technology. Adaptive learning systems, predictive analytics, and intelligent feedback mechanisms collectively contribute to curriculum transformation by supporting individualized learning experiences. Such mechanisms help explain the positive outcomes observed throughout the study.

The findings indicate that Artificial Intelligence plays a significant role in transforming traditional curriculum models into personalized learning experiences. Stakeholders perceive AI as an effective tool for enhancing curriculum flexibility, learner engagement, self-directed learning, and educational satisfaction. Positive relationships among AI utilization, personalized learning effectiveness, and learning outcomes suggest that intelligent technologies contribute substantially to educational innovation.

Overall evidence suggests that successful curriculum transformation depends on the integration of AI capabilities with pedagogical objectives and learner-centered design principles. AI-supported personalization appears capable of improving instructional responsiveness, promoting learner autonomy, and enhancing educational effectiveness. These findings support the view that AI may become a central component of future curriculum development and personalized learning ecosystems.

The findings demonstrate that Artificial Intelligence plays a significant role in transforming traditional curriculum structures into personalized learning experiences. Stakeholders consistently reported positive perceptions regarding AI utilization, curriculum flexibility, learner engagement, self-directed learning, and learning satisfaction. Statistical evidence revealed that AI-supported systems contribute to adaptive instructional practices capable of accommodating differences in learner abilities, preferences, and learning trajectories. These outcomes suggest that AI functions as an important catalyst for educational personalization.

Results further indicated that AI utilization significantly influenced personalized learning effectiveness and curriculum flexibility. Intelligent learning systems enabled dynamic adaptation of content, assessment, and feedback processes according to individual learner needs. Such capabilities allowed educational experiences to move beyond standardized instructional models toward more responsive and learner-centered approaches. Personalized learning effectiveness emerged as a strong predictor of educational satisfaction and learner engagement.

Relationships among curriculum flexibility, self-directed learning, and learner engagement also proved significant. Students who experienced greater adaptability within learning pathways demonstrated higher levels of autonomy, motivation, and active participation. Flexible curriculum structures supported opportunities for individualized progression and encouraged learners to assume greater responsibility for their educational development.

Qualitative findings reinforced quantitative results by illustrating practical examples of AI-enabled curriculum transformation. Educational institutions implementing adaptive learning platforms and predictive analytics systems reported improvements in academic achievement, learner motivation, instructional responsiveness, and curriculum management. Such findings collectively indicate that AI contributes to both pedagogical innovation and enhanced educational outcomes.

The findings are consistent with previous research emphasizing the transformative potential of AI within educational environments. Numerous studies have reported positive effects of intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning technologies, and learning analytics on learner engagement and academic achievement. Similar patterns emerged in the present investigation, where AI utilization significantly enhanced personalized learning experiences and educational satisfaction. Such consistency strengthens existing evidence regarding the value of AI-supported instructional innovation.

Results also align with literature grounded in Personalized Learning Theory and Constructivist Learning Theory. Previous investigations have emphasized the importance of adapting instruction to learner needs, interests, and developmental characteristics. Findings from this study support these theoretical perspectives by demonstrating that AI technologies facilitate individualized learning pathways and more responsive educational experiences. Personalized learning appears to become more achievable when supported by intelligent technological systems.

Differences emerge when comparing the present findings with studies focused primarily on technological effectiveness rather than curriculum transformation. Many previous investigations examined specific AI applications without addressing broader implications for curriculum design and educational structures. Findings from the current study indicate that AI influences not only instructional delivery but also the organization, flexibility, and adaptability of curriculum systems. Such observations extend existing scholarship beyond tool-centered evaluations.

Variations also appear in relation to studies highlighting concerns regarding technology dependence and educational inequality. Although challenges associated with implementation, infrastructure, and ethical considerations remain important, stakeholders in the present study generally perceived AI as an enabling mechanism rather than a disruptive threat. Positive perceptions may reflect growing familiarity with AI technologies and increasing institutional capacity to integrate intelligent systems into educational practice.

The findings signify that curriculum is evolving from a static and standardized structure toward a dynamic and adaptive educational ecosystem. Traditional curriculum models frequently assume uniform learning pathways despite substantial variation among learners. AI technologies challenge this assumption by enabling instructional adaptation based on real-time learner data and individualized educational needs.

Evidence also signifies the increasing importance of learner-centered educational paradigms. Educational success can no longer be measured solely by the efficient delivery of standardized content. Personalized learning experiences emphasizing autonomy, flexibility, and individual growth are becoming central objectives of contemporary education. AI appears to provide the technological infrastructure necessary to support these emerging priorities.

Patterns observed throughout the study further signify the growing role of data-informed decision-making within educational environments. AI systems continuously collect, analyze, and interpret learning data to generate recommendations and adaptive interventions. Such capabilities allow educators and institutions to make more informed instructional decisions while responding effectively to learner diversity.

Results additionally signify a transformation in the role of educators (J. H. J. C. Ortega, 2025). Teachers increasingly function as facilitators, mentors, and learning designers rather than exclusive sources of knowledge. AI-supported systems can automate routine instructional functions while enabling educators to focus on higher-level pedagogical responsibilities. Such developments reflect broader changes in educational practice associated with digital transformation.

Implications for educational institutions involve the need to reconsider traditional curriculum frameworks and instructional strategies (Isaee & Barjesteh, 2026). Institutions seeking to enhance learner outcomes may benefit from integrating AI technologies capable of supporting adaptive learning pathways and individualized educational experiences (Cao, 2025). Strategic investments in AI infrastructure may contribute to improved educational effectiveness and learner satisfaction.

Implications for educators concern the development of new professional competencies related to AI-supported teaching and curriculum design (Janardhanan et al., 2023). Teachers require knowledge and skills enabling them to interpret learning analytics, manage adaptive instructional environments, and facilitate personalized learning experiences (Shaznay Patel, 2025). Professional development initiatives will therefore become increasingly important within AI-enabled educational systems.

Implications for learners involve greater opportunities for autonomy and personalized educational growth (Plate & Hutson, 2025). AI-supported learning environments provide customized feedback, individualized learning pathways, and flexible progression opportunities (Srihita et al., 2026). Such features may help learners develop stronger self-regulation skills, higher motivation, and greater ownership of their educational experiences.

Implications for policymakers extend to curriculum governance, digital equity, and ethical AI implementation (Pullanikkattil et al., 2025). Educational policies must support responsible technology integration while ensuring accessibility, transparency, privacy protection, and fairness. Effective governance frameworks will be essential for maximizing the benefits of AI while minimizing potential risks and inequalities.

The observed relationships can be explained through Personalized Learning Theory. Educational experiences become more effective when instructional content, learning activities, and assessment strategies are aligned with individual learner characteristics (Isaraj et al., 2026). AI technologies facilitate such alignment by continuously analyzing learner data and adapting educational experiences accordingly. Positive outcomes therefore emerge because instruction becomes more relevant and responsive.

Constructivist Learning Theory provides an additional explanation for the findings. Learners actively construct knowledge through interaction, reflection, and engagement with meaningful learning experiences (Ali et al., 2025). AI-supported environments promote active participation by offering adaptive challenges, personalized feedback, and individualized learning opportunities. Such conditions strengthen engagement and support deeper learning processes.

Positive relationships between curriculum flexibility and self-directed learning can be explained through learner autonomy mechanisms. Flexible learning pathways allow students to progress according to their needs, interests, and performance levels (Hesari & Ayati, 2026). Greater control over learning experiences encourages responsibility, motivation, and independent learning behaviors. AI technologies support these processes by providing individualized guidance and recommendations.

Strong effects associated with learning satisfaction may result from the increased personalization and immediacy enabled by AI systems (Lu, 2026). Traditional instructional models often struggle to provide timely feedback and individualized support for large numbers of learners. AI technologies address these limitations by delivering continuous assistance and adaptive interventions (Khalifeh et al., 2026). Enhanced responsiveness contributes to more positive educational experiences and improved learner perceptions.

Future research should investigate the long-term effects of AI-supported curriculum transformation on academic achievement, learner development, and educational equity. Longitudinal studies may provide valuable insights regarding the sustainability of personalized learning outcomes and the evolving role of AI within educational systems (Yllan Garza et al., 2027). Such evidence would strengthen understanding of long-term educational transformation processes.

Comparative investigations involving different educational levels, disciplines, and cultural contexts would further expand current knowledge (Wang & Sun, 2025). AI implementation may produce varying outcomes depending on institutional characteristics, learner populations, technological infrastructure, and pedagogical traditions. Broader comparative research is therefore necessary to establish the generalizability of current findings.

Methodological innovation represents another important direction for future inquiry (Mnguni, 2025). Integration of learning analytics, artificial intelligence modeling, classroom observation, experimental designs, and educational data mining techniques may provide deeper understanding of curriculum transformation mechanisms. Such approaches could reveal patterns and relationships not easily captured through conventional survey methodologies.

Practical initiatives should focus on developing ethical, inclusive, and pedagogically grounded AI implementation strategies. Collaboration among educators, curriculum developers, technology specialists, policymakers, and researchers may facilitate the creation of personalized learning ecosystems that balance technological innovation with educational values (Singh & Vaishnav, 2026). Such efforts have the potential to redefine curriculum design and learning experiences for future generations.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is that Artificial Intelligence functions not merely as an instructional support technology but as a transformative mechanism capable of reshaping traditional curriculum structures into personalized learning ecosystems. AI utilization significantly enhanced curriculum flexibility, personalized learning effectiveness, learner engagement, self-directed learning, and learning satisfaction. Personalized learning effectiveness emerged as a critical mediating factor linking AI implementation with positive educational outcomes. This finding extends beyond previous studies that primarily focused on technological performance by demonstrating that AI contributes directly to curriculum transformation through adaptive content delivery, individualized learning pathways, real-time feedback, and data-driven instructional decision-making. Educational personalization therefore appears to be a structural outcome of AI integration rather than a supplementary feature of digital learning environments.

The principal contribution of this research lies in both its conceptual and methodological dimensions. Conceptually, the study integrates Personalized Learning Theory, Constructivist Learning Theory, Technology Acceptance Model, and Learning Analytics Theory into a comprehensive framework explaining how AI facilitates curriculum transformation and learner-centered education. This integrated perspective advances current scholarship by connecting technological capabilities with pedagogical innovation, learner autonomy, and curriculum adaptability. Methodologically, the application of a mixed-methods sequential explanatory design combining Structural Equation Modeling and qualitative thematic analysis provides a holistic understanding of AI-supported educational change. Such an approach enables the identification of both measurable causal relationships and contextual experiences associated with curriculum personalization.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The study primarily examined stakeholder perceptions and institutional experiences within educational settings that had already implemented AI-supported learning technologies, potentially limiting the generalizability of findings to institutions with lower levels of technological readiness. Variations in infrastructure quality, digital literacy, policy frameworks, and access to AI resources may influence implementation outcomes across different contexts. Future research should employ longitudinal designs, cross-national comparisons, and experimental interventions to examine the long-term impact of AI-driven curriculum transformation on academic achievement, educational equity, learner development, and teacher roles. Integration of ethical AI frameworks, learning analytics models, and emerging intelligent technologies may further enrich understanding of personalized learning in future educational ecosystems.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Ahsan, A., Yusuf, D. H. M., & Abidin, J. Z. (2026). FinTech Education With Artificial Intelligence. *Defining an AIBased K-12 Education System*, 183–209. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6346-1.ch009

Ali, M. M., Anwar, M. N., Fazal, S., & Ayyaz, S. (2025). AI applications for English language learning. In *AI Appl. For Engl. Lang. Learn.* (p. 562). IGI Global. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9077-1

Al-Said, K., Ayasrah, F. T., Aluvalu, R., William, P., & Onyema, E. M. (2026). Transforming Curriculum Development in Higher Education through Generative AI. *Generative AI and Sustainability in Higher Education: Applications, Opportunities, and Challenges*, 25–36. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003610861-3

Anyinkeng, A. B., Girma, S. M., Maurice, T., JohnPaul, E., Hiwot, T., & Awad, A. K. (2025). The role of remote and virtual surgical training in expanding cardiothoracic surgical capacity in low-resource regions. *BMC Surgery*, *25*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-025-03142-x

Assayed, A. K., Spessard, S. L., ALhababsah, N. I., & Alsayed, S. K. (2026). Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Education and Leadership: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Breakthroughs in Smart Nursing with Generative AI*, 325–349. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-8247-0.ch013

Cao, H. (2025). Experiences and Insights Gained from AI-Assisted Programming Instruction in Higher Education. *Proc. Int. Conf. AI-Enabled Educ., AIEE*, 159–166. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1145/3768421.3768449

Chavva, M. (2025). Transforming vocational education through LLM innovations. *Integrating AI and Sustainability in Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET)*, 207–223. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1142-5.ch010

Dhakshan, S., Balamurugan, G., Mohan, J. S. S., & Tyagi, A. K. (2023). Role of emerging technologies in education 4.0: Challenges and future research directions. *Architecture and Technological Advancements of Education 4.0*, 131–154. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/9781668492857.ch006

Hasan, A. (2026). Transforming Language Learning: Examining the Role of Yoodli AI in Developing Oral Skills Among First-Year Undergraduates. In Cantafio G., Alali L., Maqsood S., Ramadan A., Farooq M., Al-Shoqran M., & Naser H. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: 1806 LNNS* (pp. 459–468). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-16389-9_41

Hefetz, I. (2025). Integrating AI Systems in Criminal Justice: The Forensic Expert as a Corridor Between Algorithms and Courtroom Evidence. *Forensic Sciences*, *5*(4). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3390/forensicsci5040053

Hesari, F., & Ayati, M. (2026). Recommender systems in complexity-informed curriculum design: A framework for enhancing personalized learning. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, *14*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2026.103094

Isaee, H., & Barjesteh, H. (2026). Exploring teachers' and learners' perceptions of AI-supported pedagogical tools in English language teaching. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, *6*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-026-00933-w

Isaraj, M., Gjolleshi, I., & Sridaran, R. (2026). AI-Driven Enhancement in Foreign Language Education: A Case Study of Challenges and Opportunities from Gjirokastër, Albania. In Sridaran R. & Priti S. (Eds.), *Commun. Comput. Info. Sci.: 2866 CCIS* (pp. 153–160). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-17300-3_12

Janardhanan, A. K., Rajamohan, K., Manu, K. S., & Rangasamy, S. (2023). Digital education for a resilient new normal using artificial intelligence—Applications, challenges, and way forward. *Digital Teaching, Learning and Assessment: The Way Forward*, 21–44. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-95500-3.00001-8

Jong, M. (2024). Transforming medical education in the AI era: Balancing technological expertise with humanistic care in tomorrow's doctors. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore*, *53*(12), 754–757. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.47102/annals-acadmedsg.2024242

Juárez-Vázquez, S., Sampedro, F. J. S., & Ruíz, N. H. (2026). Generative AI and transformation of teaching in higher education: Systematic review and conceptual model. *RIED-Revista Iberoamericana de Educacion a Distancia*, *29*(2). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.5944/ried.47225

Khalifeh, F., Santiago, R., & Palau, R. (2026). Redefining personalized learning in the artificial intelligence era: An updated systematic review from 2019 to 2025. *Smart Learning Environments*, *13*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-026-00440-6

Kumari, P. (2025). The Role of AI in Reshaping Curriculum Structures for Future Learners. *Empowering Educational Development and Faculty Growth With AI*, 141–171. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4129-3.ch005

Levin, I., Minyar-Beloruchev, K., & Marom, M. (2025). Generative AI as a Cultural Phenomenon in the Digital Transformation of Education. In S. Dovgyi, E. Siemens, L. Globa, O. Kopiika, & O. Stryzhak (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: 1338 LNNS* (pp. 714–731). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89296-7_36

Liu, C., Chai, K. K., & Chen, Y. (2025). The Transformative Role of Generative AI in Higher Education: Perspectives from Academia and Industry. *IEEE Global Eng. Edu. Conf., EDUCON*. Scopus. IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON. https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON62633.2025.11016643

Lu, Y. (2026). Research and implementation of intelligent clothing personalized customization system based on deep learning. *Scientific Reports*, *16*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-026-40436-3

Mnguni, L. (2025). AI as a teaching augmentor: A review of the integration of AI in science education. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, *8*(12). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2025390

Ortega, J. H. J., Alix, A., Yu, W., Lagman, A., & Dorongon, V. D. (2026). Generative AI in Multimedia Arts Courses: Benefits and Limitations. In Choudrie J., Mahalle P.N., Perumal T., & Joshi A. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: 1493 LNNS* (pp. 103–113). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-8399-4_10

Ortega, J. H. J. C. (2025). Exploring Generative AI Use in Multimedia Arts Courses and Its Impact on Pedagogy and Innovation. *Int. Conf. Electr., Comput., Energy Technol., ICECET*. Scopus.

International Conference on Electrical, Computer, and Energy Technologies, ICECET 2025. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECET63943.2025.11472018

Park, J. S. (2026). Towards AI-Augmented Teaching for Higher Education. *Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies*, *279*, 461–481. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-4423-3_28

Park, J., Teo, T. W., Teo, A., Chang, J., Huang, J. S., & Koo, S. (2023). Integrating artificial intelligence into science lessons: Teachers' experiences and views. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *10*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00454-3

Patel, V., Pradhan, V., & Kadam, A. (2026). Blockchain in Education and Lifelong Learning's: Challenges, Solutions, and Future Directions. In Tuba M., Akashe S., & Joshi A. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: 1646 LNNS* (pp. 182–192). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-06665-7_17

Pék, J. (2026). The Future of Descriptive Geometry in Architectural Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. *Epites-Epiteszettudomany*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1556/096.2026.00168

Plate, D., & Hutson, J. (2025). Beyond code: Redefining programming education beyond STEM. In *Beyond Code: Redefining Program. Educ. Beyond STEM* (p. 243). CRC Press. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003637738

Pradhan, S. J., Gong, H., Mercer, S., Molahassani, A., Payton, A., Hall, J., & Johnstone, E. (2025). Transforming Pharmacy Education: The Role of VR and Generative AI in Developing Consultation Competence. *Int. Workshop Artif. Intell. Educ., WAIE*, 59–63. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/WAIE67422.2025.11381203

Pullanikkattil, S., Yerolla, R., & Besta, C. S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Based Personalized Learning for Chemical Engineering Education. *Blockchain and AI in Shaping the Modern Education System*, 232–256. Scopus.

Raju, A., Nair, M., Nair, A., & Seenivasan, R. (2018). Hybrid learning environment: Learning mathematics using ALEKS software. In Ivala E. (Ed.), *Proc. Int. Conf. E-Lear., ICEL* (Vols. 2018-July, pp. 336–343). Academic Conferences Limited. Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85050807924?origin=resultslist

Ronan, M. (2025). Generative AI in Audio Education: Process-Centred Teaching for a Product-Centred World. *Proc. AES Int. Conf.*, *2025-May*, 523–533. Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105041141229?origin=resultslist

Salama, R. A. A., Malay, J., & Wadid, N. A. (2026). The Metaverse in Medical Education: Transforming Learning Through Immersive Technologies. *Global Competencies and Intercultural Learning*, 205–230. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2622-1.ch008

Salem, A. A. M. S. (2026). Generative AI-Driven Feedback in Flipped Writing Workshops: Transforming Business Education Through Improved Writing, Communication, and Self-Regulation. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331261431156

Sarzhanova, D., Rizakhojayeva, G., Akeshova, M., Shakiyeva, A., Yessimgaliyeva, T., & Ibrayeva, L. (2025). The Impact of Information-Contextual Technologies on Shaping Foreign Language Teaching Proficiency in Prospective Educators. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, *7*(3), 852–862. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i3.8822

Shaznay Patel, N. (2025). Bridging the Industry-Higher Education Gap with Critical Design Futures Thinking and GenAI for Innovation. *The Rise of Intelligent Machines: A Multi-Disciplinary Perspective from Industry and Impact on Higher Education*, 268–288. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003469551-11

Singh, A. K., & Vaishnav, H. (2026). AI Driven Adaptive Learning for Industry Specific Business English: Transforming Professional Communication in the Digital Era. *Digital Technology in*

Language Education: Research, Practice, and Innovation, 56–72. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003725336_4

Sotaminga-Cinilin, M. J., & Uribe-Laverde, M. Á. (2026). Student Perceptions of an AI-Based Assistant for Formative Feedback in Higher Education. In Buele J., Palacios-Navarro G., & Avilés-Castillo F. (Eds.), *EAI/Springer Inno. Comm. Comp.* (pp. 217–230). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-10310-9_15

Srihita, R. H., Goli, G., & Rajyalaxmi, M. (2026). AI-Powered Strategies for Teaching and Learning in Management Education: Shaping Tomorrow's Leaders. In Swaroop A., Virdee B., Correia S.D., & Polkowski Z. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: 1597 LNNS* (pp. 91–101). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-02831-0_8

Talegaonkar, V., & Bhagwat, M. (2025). Students' Perceptions and Expectations from Robot Teachers and Human Teachers. In Balogh R., Obdržálek D., & Fachantidis N. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: 1544 LNNS* (pp. 267–281). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-98762-5_23

Tang, S. S., Beh, W. F., & Cheah, K. S. L. (2025). The Role of Lecturers' AI Leadership in Enhancing Postgraduate Student Teachers' Integration of Mobile AI Tools: A Mixed-Methods Study in Malaysian Education Faculties. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, *19*(7), 136–158. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v19i07.51971

Vivekanandan, V., & Rajeswari, R. (2026). Integrating AI Ethics and Sustainability Through Experiential and Data-Driven Curriculum Innovation at PCCOE. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, *39*(Special Issue 2), 213–222. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2026/v39is2/26026

Wang, G., & Sun, F. (2025). A review of generative AI in digital education: Transforming learning, teaching, and assessment. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology*, *26*(19), 102–127. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICT.2025.146701

Wei, Z., Zhang, G., Zhang, M., & Cui, W. (2025). The Symbiotic Integration of Cognitive-Enhanced Artificial Intelligence and University Education: Building the Future Intelligent Learning Ecosystem. *Proc. Int. Conf. Big Data, Inf. Comput. Netw., BDICN*, 434–439. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1145/3727353.3727424

Xing, Q. Y. (2021). The roles of mechanics courses in student-centered civil engineering education. In Wang C.M., Kitipornchai S., & Dao V. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Civ. Eng.* (Vol. 101, pp. 1011–1019). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8079-6_95

Yaqin, L. N., Alas, Y. B., & Habibi, A. (2026). Reshaping Language and Cognition in Education Through AI. In *Reshaping Language and Cognition in Education Through AI* (p. 392). IGI Global. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4397-6

Yazdani, J., Askari, M., Behdarvandirad, S., & Jeong, S. (2025). TRANSFORMING ADULT LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT: AI APPLICATIONS ACROSS DIVERSE CONTEXTS. *Andragogy in Practice: Case Studies on Innovation in Adult Learning*, 54–63. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032626000-6

Yllan Garza, O., Gonzalez Ureña, L. M., & Cantú Ortiz, F. J. (2027). Using Generative AI and Multi-agent LLM Architectures for Topic Summarization and Segmentation for Long Lists of Educational Videos. In Stahlbock R., Weiss G.M., Arabnia H.R., & Deligiannidis L. (Eds.), *Commun. Comput. Info. Sci.: 2938 CCIS* (pp. 117–128). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-22196-4_9

Yue, W., & Sukumaran, S. (2025). Generative AI and TCM Teaching Materials. *Journal of Management Practices, Humanities and Social Sciences (JMPHSS)*, *9*(3), 148–157. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.33152/jmphss-9.3.2

Zhan, Y., & Chen, L. (2025). Teaching Case Harness the Power of Interactive Large Language Model in Teaching Using a Capstone Project in the Database Management Course. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, *36*(3), 224–236. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.62273/VMJS9132